

# COMMUNITY BOARD MEMBER'S REPORT

**Report to:** Bay of Islands-Whangaroa Community Board  
**Member Name:** Lane Ayr  
**Subdivision:** Kerikeri  
**Date:** AUG 13/21 NOV12/21

---

## REPORT

### Meetings

16/08/21	Blessing for start of skate park Kerikeri
18/08/21	Waipapa Hall AGM
20/08/21	Opito Bay re playground
23/08/21	Teams meeting of KDWG
02/09/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Workshop (9-10)
02/09/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Meeting Teams (10-3)
06/09/21	ByLaw Discussion via Teams
15/09/21	Waipapa Hall
17/09/21	Community Catch Up kerikeri
20/09/21	Meeting at Kerikeri Domain re Top Soil
27/09/21	KDWG via Teams
29/09/21	Kerikeri Domain Re RSA wall
07/10/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Workshop (9-10)
07/10/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Meeting Teams (10-3)
12/10/21	KDWG teams
15/10/21	KDWG budget meeting
20/10/21	Waipapa Hall
08/11/21	KDWG teams
11/11/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Workshop (9-10)
11/11/21	BOI-Whangaroa Community Board Meeting Teams (10-3)

---

### Resource Consents

As noted in several of my previous reports, it appears that the process of supplying RC:s to the CB has changed, to save all parties time and energy I will cease any further comments on RC"s.

## **Requests for Service/information**

I submit few RFS, while encouraging community members to do so directly, several that I did submit have not, in my opinion elicited any real action the following are the last two.

Re: Mission Road and Landing Road Parking still an issue additional photo supplied.

Re: Repainting parking lot directions signs Kerikeri Parking lot no action.

I would not like to see RFS's become the default inspection process, for instance the vegetation on the roundabout at the Bypass and Waipapa now restricts view of traffic turning (If your proceeding now on Waipapa you cannot see traffic turning right to go down the bypass) This should not require an RFS.

## **In progress**

Kerikeri Domain Working Group

As most will be aware construction has started on the Skate Board Park.

Basketball Court and Playground which form the major module of the project

The project may be over budget, and that to provide the project as presented to the community, the Working Group may be required to seek additional funding.

The KDWG is currently awaiting detailed to-date costs so that we can prioritize outstanding items in the design, within budgetary limits.

There is little purpose at this time in analyzing the cause of any "over run" but it may well be of assistance in future projects.

I had promised a presentation to the Board which has not proved possible at this time. WG's recent meeting minutes and pic's are attached.

## **Comments**

Given the current COVID restrictions I believe that the staff and in general the organization are to be congratulated on maintaining services.

I admit to a general naivety regarding both Politics and Cronyism, both of which I find distasteful.

A colleague and I were delegated to provide a report on a submission to the Board, which we did.

Given the parties making the submission and the topic, one would have had to been credulous not to observe the potential for interference.

But as stated I'm naive, suffice it to say I strongly object to having my time wasted, also the waste of resources in re wording the report.

As Chairman of the KDWG I was made aware that the “Ramps” being removed from the Domain had been constructed in a modular method which lent itself to being disassembled. In contact with a fellow Board member from one of our other communities, there was an interest in having the “Ramps”  
It was arranged to disassemble the ramps and transport to the other community this was achieved despite Covid lockdowns/restrictions etc. no small feat.

However, no good deed goes unpunished, as I was to discover, an unrequested press release elicited some negative comments from the community, these were handled by my Board colleague, I’m also informed that the community has raised significant funds for the re assembly of the “ramps”.

I received various communications from senior staff and am aware of other senior members all finding fault in the “process” used, I received no comments regarding assistance with the project. They were FNDC assets on FNDC property moved to another FNDC property, probably fully depreciated and I would be surprised to see any expenditure on maintenance.

It is extremely sad when our emphasis is placed on process rather than an objective.